

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,561	08/31/2001		Stephen Rickner	12055	3125	
28484	7590 03	3/12/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
BASF COR	RPORATION		FONTAINE, MONICA A			
LEGAL DEPARTMENT 1609 BIDDLE AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WYANDOT	WYANDOTTE, MI 48192				1732	
				DATE MAILED: 03/12/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		fr.					
• • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Anti-us Commence	09/944,561	RICKNER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Monica A Fontaine	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Au 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pr						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 041602.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/944,561

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-37 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-44 of U.S. Patent No. 6,617,032 (Rickner et al.) in view of MacGregor et al. (U.S. Patent 5,595,701). Rickner et al., hereafter "Rickner," claim a composite having the same layers with the same limitations as the layers formed in the instant application. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the spraying method of MacGregor et al., hereafter "MacGregor," in order to form the layers in Rickner's composite article in order to provide fast production of the article.

Allowable Subject Matter

In the event that a proper timely filed terminal disclaimer is made of record with the office, it is believed that claims 1-37 would be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,561

Art Unit: 1732

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record neither teaches nor suggests the claimed method for forming a composite structure having the specifically claimed layers and exclusive properties thereof. The composite product formed has been found patentable (see US 6617032), and therefore since the instant method forms the patented composite having the same layers and properties, it is also deemed novel over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with regard to layered composite articles and their method of manufacture:

- U.S. Patent 4,000,028 to Hoey
- U.S. Patent 4,797,320 to Kopp et al.
- U.S. Patent 5,154,871 to Wagner et al.
- U.S. Patent 6,165,308 to Chen et al.
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0086115 to Lamers et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica A Fontaine whose telephone number is 571-272-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,561

Art Unit: 1732

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maf

February 28, 2004

MICHAEL COLAIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER